1		
2		
3		
4		
5		
6		
7		
8	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON	
9	AT SEAT	ΓLE
10	SHARA CAWLEY-BRUSO and MICHAEL BRUSO,	CASE NO. C19-478 MJP
11	Plaintiff,	ORDER GRANTING MOTION FOR EXTENSION OF TIME
12	v.	
13 14	RAY KLEIN, INC. dba PROFESSIONAL CREDIT SERVICE,	
15	Defendant.	
16		
17	This matter comes before the Court on Defe	ndant's Motion to Extend Time for Response
18	to Plaintiffs' Motion for Partial Summary Judgment Pursuant to FRCP 6(b)(1)(B). (Dkt. No.	
19	19.) Having reviewed the motion, the Response (Dkt. No. 21), and the related record, the Court	
20	GRANTS the motion and will allow the late filing of Defendant's response brief.	
21	While the Court does not wish to punish Defense Counsel's clients for their lawyer's	
22	failure to properly calculate deadlines, the Court notes error in Defendant's motion. The motion	
23	states: "Defendant's Counsel noted their calendars (sic) as having a Response due by or on
24		

1	October 10, 2019 pursuant to Federal Rule of Civil Procedure 56(c)(1)(B), and Defendant filed	
2	its Response on October 10, 2019." (Dkt. No. 19 at 1.) It should be noted that FRCP	
3	56(c)(1)(B) does not contain any reference to the timing of responses.	
4	The motion also states, "Defendant's Counsel inadvertently failed to note the different	
5	response time stated in the Western District of Washington's Local Rules at LCR 7(d)(3), which	
6	would have made the Response due on October 7, 2019." (Id.) The motion implies that the two	
7	rules are in conflict. That is not the case. Only the Local Rule contains a directive as to when a	
8	response is due. It would have been more accurate for Defense Counsel to state that he failed to	
9	note the time properly rather than suggesting the rules led him astray.	
10	As Plaintiffs' Counsel points out, it is not the first time there has been a misreading of the	
11	rules. (See Dkt. No. 11.) The Court suggests that Defense Counsel study both the federal and	
12	local rules of civil procedure thoroughly before any additional filings are made.	
13		
14	The clerk is ordered to provide copies of this order to all counsel.	
15	Dated October 15, 2019.	
16	Marshy Releman	
17	Marsha J. Pechman	
18	United States District Judge	
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		